

DAYTON OH 45401-8801





## **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/057,313	04/08/98	MCCOWN		J	033449-002
Γ-			·	٦ [		EXAMINER
	THEODORE D LIENESCH		PM82/1001		MCALLISTER,S	
	THOMPSON HI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2000 COURTH P O BOX 880	OUSE PLAZA 1	N E	_	3652	G

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/057,313

Applicant(s)

McCown et al

Examiner

Steven B. McAllister

Group Art Unit 3652



Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the					
Disposition of Claims						
	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
Claim(s)						
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
$\square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ring Review, PTO-948.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.					
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.					
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been					
received.						
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N						
received in this national stage application from the						
*Certified copies not received:						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)						
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)					
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	049					
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-</li> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	о <del>то</del>					
	•					
SEE DEFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES					

Application/Control Number: 09/057,313

Art Unit: 3652

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a container transportation system, classified in class 414, subclass 139.4.
  - II. Claims 16-24, drawn to a method of loading and unloading containers on a marine vessel, classified in class 414, subclass 800.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practiced by a materially different apparatus.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/057,313

Art Unit: 3652

A telephone call was made to Steven J. Elleman on September 24, 1999 to request an oral 4.

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 5.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 6.

should be directed to Steven B. McAllister whose telephone number is (703) 308-7052.

Steven B. McAllister

September 30, 1999

Elefh 9/30/29

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP-318 36 00

Page 3